

**Community Safety Partnership****Date and Time:** Wednesday, 5 October 2022, 10:00 am - 1:00 pm**Venue:** Meeting held virtually via MS Teams

---

**A G E N D A**

	<b>Presented by</b>	<b>Pages</b>
<b>1. Introductions and Apologies</b>		
<b>2. Declarations of Interest</b>		
<b>3. Minutes</b>		1 - 10
<b>4. Crime and Disorder Strategic Assessment 2021/22</b>	Daniel James	11 - 12
<b>5. Community Safety Partnership Plan 2022-2025 Update</b>	Chris Lyons	13 - 14
<b>6. Drugs Strategy and Combating Drugs Partnerships Update</b>	Amolak Tatter	15
<b>7. Police Tri-Borough VAWG Strategy</b>	Daniel Thompson	17
<b>8. Probation Reducing Offending Plan 2022-2025</b>	Steve Calder	19
<b>9. RESTRICTED: Serious Violence Duty Update</b>	Chris Lyons	21
<b>10. RESTRICTED: Prevent Update</b>	Simon Cornwall	23 - 24
<b>11. Forward Plan</b>		25
<b>12. Any Other Business</b>		

<b>13. RESTRICTED: Crime and Disorder Strategic Assessment 2021/2022 Report</b>	27 - 49
<b>14. Sub-Group Update Reports</b>	
(a) Children and Young People	51 - 57
(b) Hate, Intolerance, and Extremism, and Tension Monitoring	59 - 61
(c) Violence Against Women and Girls	63 - 64
(d) IVOLT	65 - 66
(e) Reducing Re-Offending	67
<b>15. Safer Neighbourhood Update</b>	69
(a) Safeguarding Boards Update Report	71 - 73
<b>16. Date of Next Meeting</b>	75

## Membership

<b>Name</b>	<b>Post title</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Cllr Syed Ghani (Chair)	Cabinet Member for Enforcement and Community Safety	London Borough of Barking and Dagenham (LBBB)
Stuart Bell (Interim Chair)	Detective Superintendent East Area BCU	Metropolitan Police Service (MPS)
Fiona Taylor (Deputy Chair)	Acting Chief Executive and Strategic Director, Law and Governance	LBBB
April Bald	Operational Director, Childrens' Care and Support	LBBB
Rema Begum		Victim Support
Steve Calder	Head of Service, Barking, Dagenham and Havering	The Probation Service
Matthew Cole	Director of Public Health	LBBB
Jennie Coombes	Head of Service	Be First
Narinder Dail	Borough Commander	London Fire Brigade (LFB)
Angie Fuller	Head of YOS, Missing and Exploitation, Childrens' Care and Support	LBBB
Cllr Elizabeth Kangethe	Cabinet Member for Educational Attainment and School Improvement	LBBB
Chris Lyons	Community Safety Partnership Team Manager	LBBB
Kevin Makambe	Head of Triage Lifecycle	LBBB
Ioannis Mathioudakis		Barking and Dagenham Council for Voluntary Service (BDCVS)
Andy Opie	Director of Enforcement and Community Safety	LBBB
Pip Salvador-Jones	Director	Barking and Dagenham Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB)
Nathan Singleton	CEO LifeLine Projects	BD Collective
Steve Thompson		Safer Neighbourhood Board (SNB)
Melody Williams		North East London Foundation Trust (NELFT)
Cllr Maureen Worby	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Health Integration	LBBB

**LBBB Advisers**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Post title</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Gary Jones	Head of Regulatory Services	LBBB

**Non-LBBB Advisers**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Post title</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Kit Weller	MOPAC Link Officer	Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC)

**Contact Officer:**

Ilirjeta Buzoku, Community Safety Partnership National Management Trainee,  
Enforcement and Community Safety  
E-mail: [CSP@lbbd.gov.uk](mailto:CSP@lbbd.gov.uk)

## THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

**Wednesday 29th June 2022 (10:00 - 13:00pm, MS teams)**

**Members present:** Alison Stuart, Andy Opie, Angela D'Urso, Angie Fuller, April Bald, Councillor Ghani (CHAIR), Councillor Kangethe, Councillor Worby, Daniel James, Nathan Singleton, Steven Calder, Stephen Hynes, Tarina Evans.

**Additional Attendees:** Edil Abdi, Erik Stein, Farah Dadabhoy, Ilirjeta Buzoku (NOTE TAKER), James Bottomley, Martin Rolston, Philippa Banister, Sara Robertson, Sam Hodges, Tamara Barnett, Tegan Mills. Apologies: Brian Parrot, Chris Lyons, Fiona Taylor, Helene Davie, Jennie Coombes, Kevin McKambe, Kit Weller, Matthew Cole, Loannis Mathioudakis, Melody Williams, Narinder Dail, Stuart Bell.

### 3. MINUTES – June 2022

The following actions remain outstanding:

- **ACTION: Tamara Barnett to contact Andy Opie about what services MOPAC can offer to reinforce the PCP priorities.**
- **ACTION: Andy Opie to invite Street Space representatives Tegan Mills and Phillipa Banister to the next Barking Town Centre meeting for a more detailed discussion.**
- **ACTION: Angela D'Urso to set up VAWG strategic group meetings for the future. (COMPLETED)**
- **ACTION: Martin Rolston to help Daniel James to navigate through the new police software system coming in September 2022 if required to further partnership working and the CDSA work.**
- **ACTION : Martin Rolston to send data surrounding VAWG areas in LBBD for comparison analysis with identified unsafe areas.**
- **ACTION: Andy Opie to contact colleagues within IVOLT to start work around doing more analysis on the types of crimes that are occurring in parks.**
- **ACTION: Amolak Tatter, Paul Waller and partners need to present a broader update on the drugs market and emerging government drug strategies as the main agenda item in Septembers CSP meeting.**

### 4. POLICING AND CRIME PLAN 2021-2025

The 2021-2025 Policing and Crime Plan (PCP) was published on the 24<sup>th</sup> of March 2022. This updated plan is a high-level document that is built around a set of key priorities with noticeably clear outcome deliveries rather than it being commitment focussed. This change will ensure that the impact of the plan can be measured and enable the Mayor's Office of Police and Crime's (MOPAC) ability to react throughout the life of the plan.

- A 10-week consultation during the drafting of the PCP was held amongst a range of stakeholders: London councils, London Council leaders, Heads of Community Safety, Health Partners and more.
- The key themes that emerged as immediate issues during the consultation included: ASB, burglary/robbery causing insecurity, the drug use and drug dealing impact at the local level and youth violence in neighbourhoods.

The PCP 2021-2015 priorities include:

1. Preventing and reducing violence.
2. Providing better victim support.
3. Increasing trust and confidence between the public and police.
4. Protecting people from criminal exploitation and harm.
  - The next steps will include doing work around MOPAC's Commissioning services to further align these with the PCP priorities to support delivery.
  - There will also be informational data dashboards published online for Londoners and partners to navigate each of the priorities and outcomes of the PCP plan.
  - Fundamentally, the key priority for the next period is to improve partnership working between police, local councils and MOPAC. This will be picked up by MOPAC's new partnership manager Tamara Barnett, who will be working with Barking and Dagenham and other London boroughs to specifically find out how MOPAC can improve their offers and services.

Councillor Worby questioned if there would be a refresh of the PCP due to the recent announcement from the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) being placed into 'special measures,' as well as referencing the recent murder of Zara Aleena in the neighbouring borough Redbridge. James Bottomley assured that MOPAC are looking into their arrangements for oversight into the MPS and highlights how the MPS have a focus on violence and violence against women and girls (VAWG). Fundamentally, these events will not change the priorities of the PCP plan.

Andy Opie suggests there should be a build of detail in engagement and trust around partnership work, similar to the community hubs being set up around the borough that involve police presence.

Martin Rolston builds upon this by stating there should be more of a localised approach for engagement pieces for the 12 boroughs within the Basic Command Unit (BCU) to be strategically co-ordinated between the MPS and councils as each borough is uniquely different and this would also improve partnership working on a local level.

Councillor Ghani questioned the visibility of the police and if anything can be said about the recruitment and resources of the MPS. James Bottomley affirms that MOPAC continues to lobby central government to get the right resources for London and highlights that police levels have now returned to the levels that they were 10 years ago – though increasing recruitment nationally remains a priority.

**ACTION: Tamara Barnett to contact Andy Opie about what services MOPAC can offer to reinforce the PCP priorities.**

## **5. STREET SPACE – STATION ACTIVATION FINDINGS**

Street space work with people and communities to reimagine their streets to make them feel safer through community engagement and building. An Activation Station Project was conducted outside Barking station between August 2021 and January 2022 and its services, impacts and recommendations were presented by Tegan Mills.

- Three activations were developed to respond to the things that made residents feel unsafe: lack of pride, lack of ownership and lack of connection to others.
- Activations included public seating, artwork, performances, online workshops, and planting greenery.
- Street space analysed the survey responses of 500 people – the analytical impacts follow:
  - 62% of people said they noticed the activations and 57% felt the activations had impacted how people feel, think, and behave at the station.
  - When passers-by noticed the changes, they perceived it to be a sign of more positive change in the future.
  - The plants (43%) and the paintings (42%) were liked the most, while on the ground the performances were said to have had a significant impact on feelings of safety.
  - The activations saw a 27% increase in people who reported feeling safe outside Barking Station, 29% increase in people feeling happy outside Barking Station and 30% felt they were more likely to meet a friend outside of Barking Station when the activations were in place.
- The following recommendations are to be discussed further:
  - Create more opportunities for local people to be involved in the future of Barking Station.
  - Create more opportunity for greenery and artwork.
  - Establish a Performance Space.
  - Use a phased approach to making changes to build momentum.
  - Experiment further with day-time markets and night-time lighting.
  - Replicate the activations at other sites such as Dagenham Heathway Station.

Councillor Ghani commended the work Street Space have done so far at Barking Station and stated how this would equally be beneficial for Dagenham Heathway Station if funding allowed, as well as implementing night-time lighting at both locations.

Andy Opie stated that Street Space should present their update to the Barking Town Centre Problem Solving Group who have a broader range of partners. Andy Opie also highlighted the levelling up bid currently being worked on by the council that will primarily focus on Barking town centre and Barking Station which includes discussions around the infrastructure of markets and street lighting.

**ACTION: Andy Opie to invite Street Space representatives Tegan Mills and Phillipa Banister to the next Barking Town Centre meeting for a more detailed discussion.**

## **6. RESCUE AND RESPONSE ASSESSMENT**

The Rescue and Response (R&R) County Lines project has been running since 2018 and covers the 32 boroughs of London. This project gives support to children and adults until the age of 25 years old that are being exploited by county lines operations, as well as analysing what areas of London young people are most vulnerable to exploitation. A synopsis of the project was presented by Edil Abdi.

- There are two types of referrals into the project:
  - Intervention referral: When a child is referred to one R&R's three providers for support if there is no capacity in local authorities or they do not meet criteria characteristics.
  - Intelligence referral: This referral informs the project of young people working in county lines and this information can be shared back to local authorities.

The services issued by these providers range from rescuing young people from counties and bringing them back to London for intervention services, providing support to parents for when an exploited young person returns home, housing support for young people that need to be moved quickly and gender specific and case consultations.

R&R analyst Farah Dadabhoj presented the data collected between 2020-2021 holistically with some reference to specifically to Barking and Dagenham:

- 41% of 593 R&R referrals were received and accepted across London.
- 62% of the accepted referrals engaged in R&R intervention which led to a 93% rate in positive outcomes and an 88% reduction or ceasing in county lines operations.
- In Barking and Dagenham 29 referrals were made between 2020-2021 – this ranked the 6<sup>th</sup> highest referrer out of London. However, the confirmed number of young people working county lines provided by police and the National County Lines Co-ordination Centre data actually place LBBB 15<sup>th</sup> highest in London.
- The demographics of referrals made to R&R between 2020-2021 are proportionally dominated by men at 86% and 14% females – similarly correlating to the Barking and Dagenham's referrals demographic.
- Over a 3-year period the wards in Barking and Dagenham that receive the most R&R referrals were: Whalebone, Village, Longbridge, Abbey, Eastbury and Gascoigne.
- For the 2020-2021 fiscal year, Norfolk, Essex, Sussex, and South Wales were found to be the top county lines locations that exploited young people were linked too.

Martin Rolston questioned what the capacity for the team looked like moving forward into the future. Edil Abdi responded by stating that R&R is an in-between service that relays the data they have back to local authorities so that councils can then utilize the services they have or go out to tender for new providers.

Angie Fuller assured that R&R are well linked with LBBB's strategic criminal exploitation group and the adolescent and youth offending service which has resulted in LBBB being ranked 6<sup>th</sup> for R&R referrals.

Andy Opie questioned if there could be an extra piece of commissioning work completed within LBBB to allow for a smoother transition of support for young people transitioning into 18+. April Bald responded that the Contextual Safeguarding Strategic group do not cease support of young people going into adulthood until the board are satisfied that they have they have confidently transitioned into a new service that has oversight of their needs and can provide sufficient support. However, work can be done to improve the offer of early help services in adults commissioning.

## **7. NATIONAL REFERRAL MECHANISM UPDATE**

Barking and Dagenham are one of the ten pilot sites across the UK as part of a Home Office funded project to see if the National Referral Mechanism (NRM) decision is made more efficiently when conducted by local authorities.



Pre-pilot, NRM's responses managed by the Home Office caused a range of issues such as difficulty with information gathering, delays in a conclusive ground decision for up to a year and delays in court hearings due to lack of single oversight.

An update of the NRM pilot was presented by Angie Fuller, which shows a significant improvement regarding efficiency of the NRM referral and support process for the 42 children referred between July 2021-April 2022:

- Since the pilot began, 70% of referrals are made by social workers which has increased the efficiency of information gathering to be turned over to panels.
- The decision-making process waiting time has reduced to a month whereby 80% of conclusive ground decisions are made in the first panel meeting.
- There were fewer delays with court hearings as the pilot provides flexibility to LBBD to put on additional panels at the request of the court to meet the timescales for a hearing.
- The local authority now have single oversight of all positive NRM referrals through a Home Office funded grant NRM co-ordinator post.
- The ethnicity demographic breakdown shows that child referrals were 35% Black African and 24% white British as well as two-thirds of referrals being male.
- Out of 42 NRM referrals, 72% received positive conclusive grounds decision up to April 2022.
- Identifying children at an early stage of offending is seemingly improving as 34% of the children referred to NRM was made following their first offense.
- In terms of support, 55% of children had made a disclosure about their exploitation, which suggests that children are feeling more comfortable to talk and accept support.
- Additional risk indicators have also been exposed through this pilot scheme as 28% of referrals have had a sibling involved in criminality.

Councillor Ghani endorsed the work on the pilot and questioned how these results will be maintained post-pilot. Angie Fuller suggested there are ongoing conversations with the Home Office in terms of keeping them up to date with the statistical improvements of using local authorities to process the NRM referrals. This has resulted in the Home Office granting further funding for this referral process until end of March 2023

Stephen Hynes questioned if the NRM could be used for adults as well as children. Angie Fuller stated that the NRM was currently just for children though there is suggestions to expand this to young people post 18 years old as exploitation does not cease once children enter adulthood.

## **8. RESTRICTED: DOMESTIC ABUSE UPDATE**

Subsequent to the launch of the Domestic Abuse Commission in February 2020, LBBD Cabinet members largely agreed to accept the findings of the Domestic Abuse Commission report and recognised that there were significant areas of progress to be undertaken over the next 5 years – this is to be guided by the deliverance of the Domestic Abuse Improvement Plan (DAIP) as presented by Angela D'Urso.

Angela D'Urso described the diverse ways in which information was gathered to develop the DAIP:

- Reviewing the 7 Domestic Commission Outcomes.
- Reviewing the local, national Domestic Abuse strategic frameworks.
- Reviewing the legislation and guidance around domestic abuse

- Analysing key data points across the system such as the characteristics of victims and perpetrators and looking at what point they would present themselves.
- Establishing the sources of funding going into domestic abuse and the timespan of this.
- The victim pathway.

A collation of all the information gathered led to the development of five additional areas of focus that would help deliver the seven aims and objectives of the Domestic Commission Outcomes – this was agreed by the Safeguarding Children’s Partnership and the councils’ strategic groups:

1. Ensuring strategic partnership oversight of domestic abuse system.
2. Having a shared understanding of risk thresholds and practices within the domestic abuse system.
3. Enhancing commissioning services to be delivered at the correct times within the system so that the needs of victims are being met.
4. Improve use of Councillor Partnership resources.
5. Establishing long-term change in the community and reducing the demand in services.

The combination of the five themed focus and the 7 Domestic Commission outcomes has been developed into the DAIP which has a mixture of strategic and operational activities in place, as well as a including partnership complicated system decisions and service specific items.

The DAIP also shows can be achieved in 2022 as well as the action plan for years 3, 4 & 5. The plan has been affirmed by governance and will be presented to cabinet later this year for the final set of approvals.

Angela D’Urso cites that the strategic element of the DAIP can be specifically facilitated by the CSP members. So far, the CSP have agreed to:

- Hold the CSP VAWG Strategic group to account for the delivery of DAIP as well as establishing dual reporting lines with the Safeguarding Children’s Partnership.
- Re-establishing the MARAC steering group that will report to the VAWG strategic group.
- Organising tri-borough meetings for Domestic Abuse leads and the VAWG East BCU.

The CSP board members agreed the recommendations to:

- Accept the draft terms of reference for the VAWG and MARAC
- Agree to re-establish the Violence Against Women and Girls Strategic Group (VAWGSG) as the key driver for delivery of the DAIP.
- Agree to establish the Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC) Steering Group (MARACSG), providing key strategic input as required.

Councillor Worby advised that while all CSP members are likely to endorse the DAIP, it is not enough to simply agree and not be committed. Councillor Worby made an appeal to CSP board members that there must be a real commitment to strategically help the deliverance of the DAIP due to Angela D’Urso having developed such a clear and detailed plan on how to tackle domestic violence in the borough.

Councillor Ghani echo's Councillor Worby's sentiments by highlighting that the reformation of the VAWG strategic group, MARAC Steering group and Tri-borough Partnership meetings are all timely due to the latest murder of Zara Aleena in the neighbouring borough of Redbridge.

Andy Opie supports the DAIP and assures that he and the CSP team will work alongside with Angela D'Urso to push forward the deliverance of the plan.

**ACTION: Angela D'Urso to set up VAWG strategic group meetings for the future.**

## **9. RESTRICTED: CRIME AND DISORDER STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT PLAN (CDSA)**

The CDSA is a document that gathers evidence to formulate what LBBD's priorities should be as a partnership for the year, year ahead and every three years to inform the three-year Community Safety Partnership plan. Daniel James presents the project plan going forward for the CDDS 2022 refresh.

- The first step is to announce to the CSP meeting that the CDSA refresh is underway (29<sup>th</sup> June 2022).
- Throughout July-August the majority of the work for the CDSA will be completed.
- The final draft of the CDSA will be completed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> of September 2022, which will then be circulated to senior management, governance to be signed off by the 12<sup>th</sup> of September 2022.
- The 2022 CDSA will be presented at the next CSP meeting on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of September 2022.
- The findings of the 2022 CDSA will be presented to each of the CSP sub-groups between the 11<sup>th</sup> of November 2022 and the 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2022.

Andy Opie questions if Daniel James needs any additional support conferring with the police as the data needed to analyse and form the CDSA has typically come from the local authorities access to police system data - though this is ending. Daniel James affirms that he no longer has access to police systems though it will just now be a matter of talking to different heads of services to ascertain what the key pieces of data are within their service and accompanying context.

**ACTION: Martin Rolston to help Daniel James to navigate through the new police software system coming in September 2022 if required to further partnership working and the CDSA work.**

## **10. RESTRICTED: YOUTH SAFETY SUMMIT FINDINGS**

The Young Peoples Safety Summit is an annual event that invites all secondary schools in the borough to gather data on children's perceptions and views around safety online and around the borough. Erik Stein presented the findings of this year's summit:

- Pupils felt that parks were the most unsafe spaces within the community exercise.
- Chadwell Heath came out as the top area pupils felt unsafe – this was following the high-profile homicide of Tyler Hurley 2 months prior.
- Greater number of sites in the south of the borough such as Dagenham Dock Station being highlighted as feeling unsafe.
- There were significant differences in perception of unsafe spaces in schools between pupils and teachers – with teachers identifying increased areas of unsafe places.
- Unsafe online platforms are constantly emerging, though, concerns of pupils exceeded to broader topics such as misinformation and promoting false lifestyles.

- Pupils are increasingly concerned about the quality of teaching surrounding e-safety.
- Deep seated trust issues from pupils towards professionals and reporting platforms despite the guarantee on anonymity.
- The piloting of the TuTu App in primary schools showed that 64% of children reporting speak English as their second language – showing that children with a different first language feel more confident reporting issues in school on online platforms.
- Pupils preferred ‘real life’ input from in PHSE by speakers from Spark to Life and Box Up Crime who present their real-life experiences of how they moved away from a life of crime and pass on advice of how to avoid it.

Martin Rolston questioned If the work around parks highlighted as unsafe areas could be overlapped with areas of VAWG in LBBB to see if there is a correlation. Erik Stein responded that this could be done. Erik Stein further highlighted that there seems to be an overlap of known gang territory within the borough and pupil’s feelings on unsafety within LBBB.

Councillor Ghani and Andy Opie affirmed that they have both seen an increase reports of crime and vandalism in LBBB parks. Andy Opie stated that there has already been communication with the police to put more officers in park areas but work needs to be done strategically to complete further analysis of what areas and what types of crime are occurring in LBBB parks.

Erik Stein summaries by stating there is scope to start a youth safety summit in primary schools to gather extended data about perceptions of safety.

**ACTION : Martin Rolston to send data surrounding VAWG areas in LBBB for comparison analysis with identified unsafe areas.**

**ACTION: Andy Opie to contact colleagues within IVOLT to start work around doing more analysis on the types of crimes that are occurring in parks.**

## **11. RESTRICTED: STANDING ITEMS (VERBAL UPDATES)**

### **(a) VRU CAPACITY BUILDING FUND**

Andy Opie opened his verbal updates surrounding the Serious Violence Action plan undertaking a 6-month review of the progress against the actions within it to ensure it is still fit for purpose. This includes updates on the progress, risks, and delivery, which is now being collated into a final update that will be completed within the next 2 weeks.

Andy Opie also gave an update regarding some additional funding that has come in for the Violence Reduction Unit for a Capacity Building Fund. This work has mainly revolved around outreach and diversion activities around Gascoigne estate. The current programme was meant to run up until November 2022 but with the funding this can now be extended to the end of March 2023.

### **(b) SAFE HAVEN UPDATE**

Andy Opie gave an update on the work towards creating Safe Haven spaces throughout various locations in the borough. An external provider is due to start the initial work of engagement with the safe spaces where it is planned to implement the Safe Havens. This will result in recruiting for a post to run this operation full-time.

## **12. FORWARD PLAN**

The board reviewed the CSP forward plan and agreed the items listed for the September 2022 board. Board members identified the following future agenda items to be added to the forward plan.

- Crime and Disorder Strategic Assessment - 2022
- Drugs Partnership Update
- Adding the VAWG Strategic Update to the CSP sub-group updates.

**ACTION: Amolak Tatter, Paul Waller and partners need to present a broader update on the drugs market and emerging government drug strategies as the main agenda item in Septembers CSP meeting.**

## **13. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

No notable updates.

## **14. RESTRICTED: PERFORMANCE REPORT**

No notable updates.

## **15. SUB-GROUP UPDATES**

Contextual Safeguarding and YOS board – The missing and sexual exploitation group is happening on a regular basis, as is the criminal exploitation group - these groups are linked into the Lost Hours Campaign. The YOS board have created a development day where a justice plan for the year is due to be submitted to the Youth Justice Board. Furthermore, an inspection of the youth justice board is approaching after four years so some partners may be included in preparation for that.

Hate Crime and Tension Monitoring group – There is a highlighted key issue with the under-reporting of hate crime and trying to improve this. LBBD's third party Stop Hate UK are receiving exceptionally low referrals so going forward the group is going to generate more referrals to tackle underreporting. Additionally, the group is seeking to increase the network for community tension monitoring to make sure that all types of hate crime and tension are being captured in the borough.

IVOLT – Andy Opie notes that Mel Gilmour who is the chair of the IVOLT sub-group has retired and records a note of thanks to her support with the group. Any IVOLT updates can be noted in the written IVOLT report.

Reducing Re-offending – Steve Calder notes that the Reducing Re-offending group met for the first time on the 7<sup>th</sup> June 2022 whereby the group will utilise the agenda for thematic look at key areas linked to offending behaviour. Steve Calder outlined that the board discussed the drugs and alcohol thematic for Barking, Dagenham and Havering themed around the offending journey starting with, test and arrest through to treatment services. Furthermore, there is an upcoming inspection of the PDU in Barking, Dagenham, and Havering by HMIP on the 25<sup>th</sup> of September.

## **16. SAFER NEIGHBOURHOOD BOARD UPDATE**

No report was provided.

## **17. SAFEGAURDING BOARDS UPDATE REPORT**

The SAB Strategic Plan was discussed and it was agreed that the priorities featured reflect what the SAB is aiming to achieve. The board will consider the development of an action plan set out how the priorities will be achieved. The Board welcome the new Independent Chair, Anju Ahluwalia, Anju has experience in safeguarding in lots of aspects including working with the community, working for Refuge, and running her own charity.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank



# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Subject:** Community Safety Partnership Plan 2022-25 Update

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** Chris Lyons, Community Safety Partnership Manager, Barking and Dagenham

**Contact:** Chris.Lyons@lbbd.gov.uk

**Security:** Unprotected

---

### 1. Purpose of Presenting the Report and Decisions Required

- 1.1 Every three years the Community Safety Partnership (CSP) Board are required to develop an overarching Community Safety Partnership Plan. The plan provides an overview of the work and priority areas that fall under the responsibility of the CSP. The plan is informed by the annual Crime and Disorder Strategic Assessments and will help shape and set out the activities to address local and MOPAC priorities to reducing crime and disorder.
  - 1.2 The Community Safety Partnership Plan incorporates local data, an insight on the roles and responsibilities of the board and its subgroup structure and how we work in partnership to address shared priorities. The plan is published on the council website to keep the residents of the borough informed on the progress of the CSP.
- 

### 2. Recommendation(s)

- 2.1 It is recommended that the Community Safety Partnership Board:
  - i) Note the contents of this report
  - ii) Ensure relevant officers are available to contribute towards discussions around the plan for 2022-25.

### **3. Main Text**

- 3.1 The annual reviews of the Community Safety Partnership Plan are important to ensure that the governance of the CSP and its subgroup structure and responsibilities of each group are still valid and any new workstreams are reflected in the plan.

Following the Crime and Disorder Strategic Assessment 2022 and the insights gathered through this process, we will move forward with writing the Community Safety Plan for 2022-25. The window for rewriting the assessment will be between 5<sup>th</sup> October and the end of November 2022.

The flightpath for the plan will ensure there is a timetable for consultation with CSP stakeholders and subgroup chairs, through to discussions with CSP chairs

The full re-write of the Community Safety Partnership Plan will come through to the December 2022 Community Safety Partnership Board for discussion and approval.

The re-write of the Community Safety Partnership Plan will focus on the following areas:

The CSP governance structure, priorities and cross cutting themes will be reviewed to consider if the structure and priorities areas are still valid and no changes are required.

- i) The data focusing on the makeup of the borough and communities and community safety data have been reviewed and updated in line with the refreshed annual Crime and Disorder Strategic Assessment.
- ii) The priority groups provide an overview of the roles and responsibilities for each subgroup. These have been reviewed with the chairs and we have updated to include the following.
  - Women's and girls' safety – IVOLT / ASB Tasking Meeting.
  - Safety within a public space – IVOLT / ASB Tasking Meeting.
  - Governance of the LBBD VRU Serious Violence Action Plan – IVOLT / ASB Tasking Meeting.
  - Delivery of MOPAC priorities – IVOLT / ASB Tasking Meeting.
  - Implementation of ECINS for IOM cohort – Reducing Reoffending.
  - Collating data sets to inform the Reducing Reoffending subgroup.
  - Delivery of NRM and HSB Pilots – Contextual Safeguarding and YOS Boards.
  - Delivery of Your Choice – Contextual Safeguarding and YOS Boards.
  - Implementation and monitoring tension reports through community and school reporting – Hate Crime and Tension Monitoring.
  - Implementation and monitoring of racist and hate graffiti to identify hotspot locations – Hate Crime and Tension Monitoring.

### **4. List of Appendices:**

- 4.1 Appendix A: Community Safety Partnership Plan 2019-2022 Annual Review

# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Subject:** Drugs Strategy and Combating Drugs Partnerships

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** Amolak Tatter, Commissioning Manager, Barking and Dagenham

**Contact:** [Amolak.Tatter@lbbd.gov.uk](mailto:Amolak.Tatter@lbbd.gov.uk)

**Security:** [Unprotected]

---

### 1. Purpose of Presenting the Report and Decisions Required

N/A

---

### 2. Recommendation(s)

2.1 It is recommended that the Community Safety Partnership Board:

N/A

### 3. Main Text

N/A

### 4. List of Appendices: Appendix 1:

**This report will be delivered verbally.**

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Subject:** Probation Reducing Offending Plan 2022-2025

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** Steve Calder

**Contact:** steven.calder@justice.gov.uk

**Security:** Unprotected

---

### **1. Purpose of Presenting the Report and Decisions Required**

1.1 N/A

---

### **2. Recommendation(s)**

2.1 It is recommended that the Community Safety Partnership Board:

N/A

### **3. Main Text**

N/A

### **4. List of Appendices: Appendix 1:**

N/A

This page is intentionally left blank



Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Introductions and Apologies	Chair	2 mins	Item 1
Declaration of Interest	Chair	2 mins	Item 2
Minutes and Actions from previous meeting	Chair	5 mins	Item 3
Drugs Market Profile	Amolak Tatter	TBC mins	Item 4
CCTV Review	David Lingard	10 mins	Item 5
London Reducing Reoffending Plan 2022-25 Update	Steve Calder	15 mins	Item 6
Safe Haven/ Women Safety Update	Chris Lyons/ Community Safety Co-ordinator	15 mins	Item 7
Victim Support Update	Tarnia Evans	15 mins	Item 8
Domestic Abuse Update	Angela D'Urso	20 mins	Item 9
VRU Violence Reduction Unit Action Plan end of year updates and new plan sign off	Chris Lyons	15 mins	Item 10
<b>Community Safety Partnership Plan 2022-2025</b>	<b>Chris Lyons</b>	<b>30 mins</b>	<b>Item 11</b>
RESTRICTED: Prevent Update	Simon Cornwall	10 mins	Item 12
Partnership delivery to VRU Serious Violence Plan	All Partners	15 mins	Item 13
Forward Plan	Chair	5 mins	Item 14
AOB - Performance Report Subgroup Updates - Contextual Safeguarding & Exploitation and YOS Board - Hate Crime, Intolerance and Extremism - IVOLT - Managing Offenders - Violence against Women and Girls - Safer Neighbourhood Board Update - Safeguarding Boards (LSCB/SAB Updates)	Chair Subgroup Leads	5 mins	Item 15

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank



# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

<b>Title:</b>	<b>Contextual Safeguarding &amp; Exploitation Subgroup Update</b>
<b>Date:</b>	Wednesday 5 <sup>th</sup> October 2022
<b>Author:</b>	April Bald – Operations Director – Children’s Care & Support
<b>Contact:</b>	April.bald@lbbd.gov.uk
<b>Security:</b>	[UNPROTECTED]

---

### 1. Brief Update

- 1.1 The Cotextual Safeguarding and exploitation sub-group continues to work to ensure coordinated multi-agency responses to reduce experiences of significant harm such as child criminal & sexual exploitation and serious youth violence that tends to happen in contexts outside the family home i.e. within peer groups, school’s settings, online and neighbourhoods. The subgroup last met on 14 June 2022 There was a good discussion following the presentation of the outcome of the Young people safety summit regarding the role Citizens alliance network and Community Solutions Hub’s could be playing in creating safe spaces for young people and engaging further with families on the issues of youth safety . The meeting agreed there needed to be more engagement with Parks given young people were saying these were spaces they could feel least safe. A strong message from young people is their fear of repercussions if they “snitch”, and how they valued talking to relatable adults e.g. youth workers. This message needs to inform our commissioning arrangements going forward.
- 1.2 The Young Londoners funding and LA programme has helped us establish a tiered response to the threat of serious youth violence amongst the borough’s young people. The presence of an independently commissioned detached youth work team, lacking for many years in the borough, has been essential in being an immediate first point of contact with young people at risk gang involvement. Outcomes of detached youth work are notoriously hard to measure, but case study exemplars have demonstrated impact. Close partnership working between Spark 2 Life and Box-Up Crime and Studio 3 Arts have helped to establish a referral pathway for young people engaged with through the detached team. Box-Up Crime’s focus has been to reengage young people in learning, with Studio 3 Arts working with older young people to develop entrepreneurship and pathways to self-employment. The cessation of funding for this programme from January 2023 carries with it risk to the delivery of the council’s Step Up Stay Safe programme, and the threat of serious youth violence. It is therefore important to explore all

options to continue funding this element through direct LA commissioning. Work is underway with Community safety, Early help, Social care, and commissioning colleagues coming together to address the funding gaps and commissioning requirements.

- 1.3 Listening to the children's message on safe spaces we have linked up with colleagues in Inclusive Growth who are relaunching the LBBD Inclusive Growth strategy, which will have a Places to be proud of working group answering to the main Delivery Board. The focus will be about designing places for young people (and older people), with community safety and safeguarding against contextual harm in mind.
- 1.4 The Pharmacy lead presented at the recent MASE meeting sharing the role that pharmacists play in offering sexual health advice and support to young people, being mindful of their vulnerabilities to abuse and sexual exploitation in particular. The staff across the 30 borough pharmacies are trained by Brooke. Our Contextual safeguarding & exploitation manager will be offering additional sessions to compliment the training already in place, ensuring a link up and stronger pathway to children's social care and early help.
- 1.5 The MASE (Missing and sexual exploitation) meeting continues to meet monthly (no meeting held during August holiday period) and has a tactical and strategic focus on children at risk of sexual exploitation. The last meeting on 26/7/22 reported there were 26 children assessed as at risk of sexual exploitation, of which 92% are girls with an average age of 16 years, with the youngest being 12 years. 38% of the children are Looked after and 27% care leavers. 54% had gone missing in the last 12 months, with 67% of these missing episodes relating to 4 young people. The Missing Panel continues to keep oversight of our missing cohort, seeking to ensure best practice in working with children who go missing, as well as understanding the drivers for the missing episodes in order that we can best disrupt these from happening. The NRM panel continues to consider the children's vulnerability to trafficking and of the 56 presented at the panel to date, 11 were for CSE concerns. Persons and places of concern continue to be looked at and police apply CAWN's where appropriate, with community safety and additional policing or detached youth workers going in when places of concern are identified. Police have undertaken Make safe training and will be going into local businesses, cab companies and hotels to train staff.

The meeting did a deep dive on the 6 young people who had been known to MASE for the longest period – only 2 are now under 18, all are in care /care-leavers. All have special education needs with 3 being out of college/employment. Four have been known to CAMHS due to poor mental health and 5 use alcohol and cannabis. Unsurprisingly all have suffered adverse childhood experiences with domestic violence being prevalent in all their earlier experiences. Parental substance misuse and mental health were prevalent and experience of significant loss through bereavement is a feature for 4 of our young people.

- 1.6 The monthly CEG (Criminal exploitation group) continues to meet, and similar to MASE has a tactical focus. The last meeting in on 19/7/22 heard that we have 32 open to Rescue & response due to concerns of running County lines. 94% are male, aged from 14 to 21. The highest cohort are 16-19 years old. Two have a CSE flag. Significantly 47% are care leavers and 22% are in care with 16% on a child in need plan with 6% on a Child protection plan. The meeting also reports on the 16

young people who have a county line flag but known to other Local authorities but residing in LBBD. In total we have 78 young people with a live NRM status due to criminal exploitation / trafficking. The meeting was heartened to hear the feedback from two young people , their parents, and social workers on the outcome of interventions offered prior to the decision to remove them from the CEG list. The feedback highlighted some positive changes for the lads which they attributed to trusting relationships with their social worker , Youth offending worker and youth workers. Partnership with their parent (mothers in this instance) was a key factor in bringing about change. Both had engaged positively in education, one attending a residential programme at the Camelia Botnar foundation – building aspirations for the future has been seen as a key contributor to minimising risk for children at risk of criminal exploitation. The work by the police exploitation team, Spark2 Life worker and YARM were also noted .

## **2. Support required from CSP Board**

- 2.1 For the Board to note the content of the report .

This page is intentionally left blank

# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Title:** Youth Justice Service Board Subgroup Update

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** Angie Fuller, Head of Service, Adolescent and Youth Justice Service

**Contact:** Angie.fuller@lbbd.gov.uk

**Security:** UNPROTECTED

---

### 1. Brief Update

- 1.1 The Board has met once during the last quarter, on July 4<sup>th</sup> 2022 and is due to meet again on Sep 20<sup>th</sup>.
- 1.2 The July meeting focused on the following items:-
- **Performance** - The three key national indicators are all continuing to improve (slight quarter rise in re-offending) with custody figures remaining at an all-time low, however LBBB ratings in comparison with other London boroughs remains high but steadily improving.
  - First time entrants (FTE's) has reduced in volume and rate in the last quarter which is positive but despite the long downward trend LBBB still has when placed in rank order by volume or by rate per 100,000 10 – 17-year-olds Barking and Dagenham has the **fifth** highest number of First Time Entrants in London for the latest rate (Jan 21 – Dec 21). Performance has been RAG rated **AMBER** in line with the Corporate Performance Framework targets. The FTE subgroup will continue to focus on this area in order to try to reduce this number further with an emphasis on early intervention for young people identified early as potentially at risk of becoming involved in offending behaviour.
  - Use of Custody- The published figures only cover the period up to March 2022. In the 12 months to March 2022 there were 9 custodial sentences for YP in Barking and Dagenham. This level has come down from 14 in the 12 months to June 2021 and from 24 in the 12 month period April 2019 to March 2020. Although this is still a reduction and the lowest custody rate seen. Barking and Dagenham still has among the highest levels in comparison with other areas – 4<sup>th</sup> highest rate in London and 11<sup>th</sup> highest nationally. Performance had been RAG rated **GREEN** for this indicator in line with the Corporate Performance Framework targets. The service resettlement panel

and cusp of custody panel continues to influence this decrease in the use of custody.

- Re-offending - Barking and Dagenham has a reoffending rate of 42.7% for the latest cohort (July 2019 to June 2020) and this level has risen slightly since the previous yearly cohort which had finished with a reoffending rate of 40% although it is a significant improvement on the July 2015 to June 2016 cohort which had a reoffending rate of 50.6%. The Reoffending rate in Barking and Dagenham (42.7%) for the July 2019 to June 2020 cohort is higher than the London average of 38.3% and is currently 9<sup>th</sup> out of all London boroughs when ranked in order. The Barking & Dagenham reoffending rate is also higher than the national reoffending rate of 33.6%. Performance has been RAG rated **AMBER** for this indicator in line with the Corporate Performance Framework targets. The service continues to focus on and monitor its re-offending through regular use of the re-offending tracker and meetings with managers to look back retrospectively as well as look forward to potential issues to address.
  
- **Focus on prolific offenders** – presentation to the board regarding the children within the service that are considered to be the most prolific with regards to ongoing offending. This was a deep dive that focused on the top 5 children identified in this category. The findings highlighted the need for close partnership working to address mental health and substance misuse needs which were prevalent for this cohort. It was also identified that children educated outside of the borough may not have access to the YARM early intervention which can impact the ability to intervene early. This will be considered in the YARM model going forward.
  
- **Focus on children in custody** – presentation to the board to fully understand the children that are currently in a custodial setting both remanded awaiting trial at court and those sentenced to a custodial sentence. This focused on a group of eight children. The main theme identified appeared to be that most of these children had moved schools on more than one occasion, which could have been an early indicator for a targeted intervention. Research shows that the younger the child starts offending, the more they become prolific offenders so it is important to try and support from the 1st offence. This will be discussed and analysed in more detail at the first-time entrant (FTE) sub group.
  
- **Focus on HMIP report on education training and employment** – The board received a summary analysis of the recent report from HMIP on the education of children open to youth justice services. This has helped to identify areas of strengths and areas for improvement. This will also direct improvement work supported by a consultant to develop clear education processes within the service.

[A joint inspection of education, training and employment services in youth offending teams in England and Wales \(justiceinspectorates.gov.uk\)](https://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk)

1.3 The next meeting in September will focus on the following agenda items:-

- Focus on children looked after who are also open to the youth justice service
- Performance report
- Refresh of the terms of reference for the board
- Engage project in custody
- Child Q – police and education response to this review

[Child-Q-PUBLISHED-14-March-22.pdf \(chscp.org.uk\)](#)

## **2. Key Challenge(s)**

- 2.1 The service is currently preparing for the likelihood of an inspection due to the fact that the last inspection was in Sep 2018. There have been some changes to staffing within the service recently which will have an impact for a period of time whilst new staff are recruited, inducted, and trained. This will also include recruitment into the performance officer post which is crucial to understanding performance within the service.
- 2.2 Ensuring that there is QA support to assist with audits and QA as well as ensuring that the service is fully prepared for any future inspection under the current framework.

## **3. Emerging Trends**

- 3.1 No new trends to report at this time.

## **4. Support required from CSP Board**

- 4.1 Ongoing attendance and input from all partners is needed to ensure that the board is able to drive forward its priorities and actions. Some support in inspection preparation may be needed from partners.

This page is intentionally left blank



# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## Subgroup Update

<b>Title:</b>	<b>Hate, Intolerance, and Extremism, and Tension Monitoring</b>
<b>Date:</b>	Wednesday 5 <sup>th</sup> October 2022
<b>Author:</b>	Andy Opie, Operational Director Enforcement Regulatory Services and Community Safety
<b>Contact:</b>	Andy.Opie@lbbd.gov.uk
<b>Security:</b>	UNPROTECTED

### 1. Purpose of Presenting the Report and Decisions Required

- 1.1 This report provides a quarterly update to the Community Safety Partnership on activity and work being undertaken by the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham (LBBd) Community Safety Partnership (CSP) Hate Crime, Intolerance and Extremism (HIE) and Tension Monitoring (TM) subgroup.

### 2. Recommendation(s)

- 2.1 It is recommended that the Community Safety Partnership Board:
- Note the contents of this report.

### 3. Update

- 3.1 At the time of writing this report the HIE and TM subgroup last met on the 18<sup>th</sup> of July 2022. The subgroup is chaired by Andy Opie, Director of Enforcement and Community Safety.
- 3.2 The HIE and TM subgroup is responsible for monitoring local tensions, analysing community activity and areas that may lead to potential tensions to understand their reasoning and identify the correct interventions. The areas in which the subgroup monitor on an ongoing basis are
- Criminal tensions such as gang activity and anti-social behaviour
  - Community tensions and incidents between specific communities [Unprotected/Protected/RESTRICTED]
  - National and International tensions that may potentially impact the local community
  - Political tensions because of extremism political activity including, leafleting and graffiti

- Hate crimes due to sexual, racial, or religious beliefs
- Any planned anniversaries and demonstrations

3.3 The subgroup is focused on reviewing the Community Safety Partnership priority areas to help shape a forward plan to ensure the subgroup is delivering on priority areas set out within the plan. The subgroup will focus on the following agenda items:

- a) Hate Crime Data
- b) Tension Log
- c) Graffiti and Vandalism
- d) School Incidents
- e) Stephen Port Case
- f) Forward Plan
- g) National Hate Crime Awareness Week

3.4 At the June meeting, hate crime data collected by MOPAC up until June 2022 was presented including the following offences: anti-Semitic, disability hate crime, sexual orientation, Islamophobic hate crime, racist hate crime and transgender hate crime. It was noted that there are spikes in crime depending on the season, especially around pride events or any other protests. The police have an operation funded by MOPAC that enables quicker interviews and follow up statements for live hate crime reports. Subsequently, victims of hate crime are also being referred to Catch who are funded by the police. The detection rate in LBBDD is 16.5%, which is better than neighbouring boroughs.

3.4.1 Tension logs show that numbers are low in referrals. Hate messages on social media is being monitored though due to the new Facebook rules the council cannot delete messages – though users writing hate messages can be blocked. Other cases in the physical domain are continued to be forwarded to the Community Safety Team to triage.

3.4.2 The two graffiti reports in the borough have been reported to the police and cleaned.

3.4.3 In light of the Stephen Port case, it was noted that there has been an increased concentration on building rapport with victims of homophobic hate crime. This contact to victims is assigned to an individual LGBT advisor is assigned who would also be a police officer. Homophobic specific hate crime are flagged and were sent to Insp. Melissa Gilmour but now she has retired we are still awaiting confirmation of who is taking over her role.

3.4.4 The Community Safety Plan will be updated regularly to link in with the CSP Board plan. As well as this, the HIETM meetings will continue to focus on a theme area every meeting which overarches the Community Safety Plan.

3.4.5 National Hate Crime Awareness Week is taking place between the 8<sup>th</sup>-15<sup>th</sup> October this year and it is an opportunity of raising awareness of hate crime, encouraging reporting hate crime, and helping victims of hate crime within the borough. The NHCAW itinerary for this year was built on last years plan. This year will include specific focus on homophobic and disability hate crime due to the Stephen Port case and the recent nationalised news the murder of a disabled man in West London. These NHCAW activities will include online training sessions for the public and staff by Galop, Stop Hate UK, Hidayah, and Stay Safe East. An information stall

with different partners will also be held in Barking Town Centre to raise awareness of NHCAW and provide advice to residents.

**4. Key Challenge(s)**

4.1 The subgroup has identified challenges in receiving tensions within the community. This informed the work in setting up a local protocol which outlines when and how the council will engage with residents.

**5. Support required from CSP Board**

5.1 The Board to note the ongoing progresses agreed and set in place to monitor local tensions and hate related crimes.

5.2 Support the development of the emergency planning response to national and local tensions.

**6. List of Appendices: N/A**

This page is intentionally left blank

# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Title:** Violence Against Women and Girls Subgroup Update

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** Angela D'Urso, Domestic Abuse Improvement Programme Lead, Barking and Dagenham

**Contact:** angela.durso@lbbd.gov.uk

**Security:** UNPROTECTED

---

### 1. Brief Update

- 1.1 The Violence Against Women and Girls Strategic Group (VAWGSG) was re-established by the Community Safety Partnership Board in June 2022. The Terms of References for the VAWGSG and the Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference Steering Group (MARACSG) were also agreed at this meeting. The MARACSG was agreed to be a sub-group of the VAWGSG.
- 1.2 Since the CSP Board in June 2022 Chairs and Vice-Chairs of the VAWGSG and MARACSG were identified and secured. Both groups have since met for their inaugural meeting.
- 1.3 The VAWGSG met in September. The agenda included:
- i) Governance and membership
  - ii) Domestic Abuse Improvement Programme agreement and update on key projects (including multi agency guidance documents and the community champions scheme)
  - iii) An update on current commissioning activity to improve the domestic abuse service offer
  - iv) Domestic Abuse Act 2021 partner implementation update
- 1.4 The MARACSG met after the VAWGSG in September. The agenda included:
- i) Governance and membership
  - ii) Domestic Abuse Improvement Programme MARAC elements, including improving intelligence sharing, adopting a predatory offender / Multi-Agency Tasking and Coordination (MATAC) approach (processes to identify and tackle serial perpetrators of domestic abuse)
  - iii) Data needs and requirements
  - iv) Work plan priorities
  - v) The tri-borough MARACSG

- 1.5 Delivery against the Domestic Abuse Improvement Programme (DAIP) was a key focus at both groups. Delivery within the DAIP continues at pace, and latest activity includes:
- i) Updating of council intranet, internet and multi-agency partnership internet pages with updated content on domestic abuse, including two new reference documents – an at a glance guide and an in-depth practitioner’s toolkit.
  - ii) The Barking and Dagenham Safeguarding Children’s Partnership has held a Practice Week on domestic abuse, with senior leaders across the partners observing practice across organisations and services. This learning will further inform DAIP activity.
  - iii) The agreement of a number of procurement strategy reports which will lead to an improvement in the domestic abuse service offer. Services will be launched at varying times between autumn 2022 and early 2023. They include an intensive intervention for children and young people displaying risky and concerning behaviours, a domestic abuse service for the Family Hubs and an advice, wellbeing and support service for children and young people.
  - iv) A number of new interventions are being scoped and designed, including improving the response to reports of domestic abuse and victim pathway to independent domestic abuse advocates.
- 1.6 Forward workplans for both the VAWGSG and the MARACSG have been agreed at the September meetings. The next meetings have been organised for December 2022. Key items include:
- i) The VAWGSG will consider the draft Barking and Dagenham VAWG strategy and provide comment, the Police East Area tri- borough VAWG delivery group and the CSP’s strategic assessment.
  - ii) The MARACSG will consider an updated MARAC protocol and toolkit, as well as an updated dashboard of key data to inform decision making and priority focus areas.

## **2. Support required from CSP Board**

- 2.1 The CSP Board should continue to ensure the outcomes of the Domestic Abuse Commission and the wider Domestic Abuse Improvement Plan are driven at pace across the partnership.

## **3. List of Appendices:**

N/A

# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Title:** IVOLT Subgroup Update

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** David Lingard, Community Safety Operation Manager

**Contact:** David.Lingard@lbbd.gov.uk

**Security:** UNPROTECTED

---

### 1. Brief Update

- 1.1 In consultation with policing partners we felt the IVOLT was unproductive and represented yet another meeting where the same issues were discussed. As a result and in consultation partners felt current and emerging issues would be better dealt with by way of merging the IVOLT with the weekly tasking meetings. As a result a new task and finish group was set up which meets each Tuesday. Variety of internal and external partners are invited where crime and ASB data is shared along with CCTV data. This data serves as predictor as to where partnership resources need to be tasked in terms of priority areas. Data and tasking requests also guide the group as to where general tasking such as police, security and the new anti-social behaviour enforcement team patrols are tasked.
- 1.2 Partners both internal and external bring issues feeding in from TTCG and standing case conference, crime and ASB where requests for a multi-track response are discussed. CCTV request are also discussed and approved or rejected based on data, logistical or other issues affecting installation.
- 1.3 LBBD have rolled out a new Community Safety Enforcement officer service. The service staffed currently by 7 officers working shifts 07:00 until 22:00 over 6 days. We still have three further officers to recruit. Patrols are generally assigned to patrol and enforce the three Public Spaces Protection Order zones. We have been taking action serving ASB warning notices against the anti-social rough sleepers around our Town Centre, Dagenham Heathway and other areas where data and or report suggest a uniformed presence is required.

### 2. Key Challenge(s):

N/A

**3. Emerging Trends**

- 3.1 Incidents of anti-social behaviour perpetrated by rough sleepers is on the increase.
- 3.2 Reports and priorities from other groups such as TTCG suggest violence against women and girls is a priority around our transport links. This is being addressed by multiple police and council joint operations.

**4. Support required from CSP Board**

N/A

**5. List of Appendices:**

N/A



Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

# COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

## REPORT

**Title:** Safer Neighbourhood Board Subgroup Update

**Date:** Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Author:** Steve Thompson

**Contact:** [steve@daggers.co.uk](mailto:steve@daggers.co.uk)

**Security:** UNPROTECTED

---

### 1. Brief Update

N/A

### 2. Key Challenge(s)

N/A

### 3. Emerging Trends

N/A

### 4. Support required from CSP Board

N/A

### 5. List of Appendices:

#### 5.1 Appendix 1:

N/A

**This report was not provided.**

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

**Date of next meeting:**

Community Safety Partnership

Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> December 2022, 10.00-13.00pm

Venue: MS Teams

Chair: Councillor Syed Ghani

This page is intentionally left blank